#### Energy Security in Baltic Countries and Finland:

development of electricity systems in Baltic States and Finland taking into account security and reliability aspects

> Arvydas Galinis Lithuanian Energy Institute

(The study was performed by the Lithuanian Energy Institute and Aalto university)

R



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 691739.

Ξ

E

### Introduction and methodological approach





## **Energy security**

- Energy security is a complex and multidimensional concept that has evolved along the years
- It started with the 1970s oil crises and its concerns on the dependency on fossil fuel import and other interdisciplinary issues, such as affordability, social acceptance, and environmental impacts.
- Energy security is defined as "the ability of the energy system to uninterruptedly supply energy to consumers under acceptable prices and to resist potential disruptions arising due to technical, natural, economic, socio-political and geopolitical threats"





## Object of the analysis

**Electricity system of Baltic States and Finland** and district heating systems (in lower extent)

- Although positive from a diversification point of view, significant share of intermittent electricity generation (in particular wind) creates additional energy security challenges as it requires the power system to maintain sufficient balancing capacities at all time
- Substantial amount of electricity imports from third countries to Baltic countries together with possible malfunctions of individual elements of the electricity system is another energy security concern because it requires large **reserve capacities**





## General assumptions and conditions

In order to ensure energy security, measures for it's assurance have to be foreseen already at the energy development planning stage and put into practice in time.

Energy security in Estonia, Finland, Latvia, and Lithuania is considered in the context of the development of the energy sector operating under market conditions that determine the cost-effectiveness of different individual energy generation sources as well as the attractiveness of energy security measures.

Environmental restrictions associated with climate change mitigation as well as country specific and EU energy policy requirements also are taken into account.

Energy security analysis in the REEEM project was based on mathematical modelling of prospective energy sector operation and development





# Mathematical models in analysis of energy security







# Structure of mathematical model for analysis of energy system operation and development





#### Structure of country energy system model







#### Methodological approach *Modelling of wind variability*





#### Principal structure of electricity system







#### Representation of electricity system in model



Μ



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 691739.

R

Ε

Ξ

Mathematical formulation of energy system development problem

## min c' \* x

- Subject to
- A \* x => b

*u <= x <= l* 



•



#### Formulation of energy balance equations

 $\sum$  production  $-\sum$  consumption  $\ge 0$ 



#### Electricity generation by Wind PP







## Wind probability curves and their use



#### Methodological approach *Modelling of reservation options*





#### Reserve requirements for reservation of large units in power systems







Primary reserve: Is plant suitable to provide R1?  $R1_{LR} \le a^*X_{LR}$  (If suitable),  $R1_{LR} \le 0$  (If not suitable)

Secondary and tertiary reserve:

Is plant suitable for supplying R2?  $R2_{IR} \le 0$  (If not suitable)

Plants based on renewable sources (Wind, Solar)?





# Supply of reserves via line between countries (Import and export of electricity)



Reserve capacities (R1,R2,R3) in each LR that can be taken from other countries due to stopped electricity export are <=  $X_{export LR}$ (R1<sub>LR</sub><=  $X_{export LR}$ , R2<sub>LR</sub><=  $X_{export LR}$ , R3<sub>LR</sub><=  $X_{export LR}$ )





## Modelling of reserves

(LR)?



# Reserve (R1,R2,R3)requirement in each moment (LR) of time

$$R1_{LR} => X_{largest unit LR} + R1_{largest unit LR}$$

$$R2_{LR} => X_{largest unit LR} + R2_{largest unit LR}$$

$$R3_{LR} => X_{largest unit LR} + R3_{largest unit LR}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{R1}_{\mathsf{LR}} \mathrel{=>} \mathsf{X}_{\mathsf{second\ largest\ unit\ \mathsf{LR}}} \mathrel{+} \mathsf{R1}_{\mathsf{second\ largest\ unit\ \mathsf{LR}}} \\ \mathsf{R2}_{\mathsf{LR}} \mathrel{=>} \mathsf{X}_{\mathsf{second\ largest\ unit\ \mathsf{LR}}} \mathrel{+} \mathsf{R1}_{\mathsf{second\ largest\ unit\ \mathsf{LR}}} \\ \mathsf{R3}_{\mathsf{LR}} \mathrel{=>} \mathsf{X}_{\mathsf{second\ largest\ unit\ \mathsf{LR}}} \mathrel{+} \mathsf{R1}_{\mathsf{second\ largest\ unit\ \mathsf{LR}}} \end{array}$$

R1<sub>LR</sub> => reserve margin \* peak demand<sub>LR</sub> R2<sub>LR</sub> => reserve margin \* peak demand<sub>LR</sub> R3<sub>LR</sub> => reserve margin \* peak demand<sub>LR</sub>





Harmonisation of the Baltic energy security study with the research on energy sector development on EU level (With the results of TIMES PanEU model)





Main factors defining energy sector development pathways in the TIMES PanEU model

- Emissions of greenhouse gases (GHG)
- Use of renewable energy sources

The emission reduction target for the emission trading sector (ETS) was set for the entire European Union. It was assumed that GHG emissions in the ETS should be reduced by **21% in 2020, by 43% in 2030 and by 83% in 2050**. All reduction rates are compared to the 2005 emission level.





#### **Emission reduction targets for non ETS**

	Targets for 2020	Targets for 2030	Target for 2050
Finland	-16%	-39%	-80%
Estonia	11%	-13%	-60%
Latvia	17%	-6%	-60%
Lithuania	15%	-9%	-60%





#### RES targets for the country

	2020	2030	2040	2050
Finland	38%	50%	68%	85%
Estonia	25%	38%	56%	75%
Latvia	40%	49%	62%	75%
Lithuania	23%	36%	56%	75%





# **Targets for entire region** (derived from Times PanEU results)

RES target shares in primary energy consumption for electricity and district heat production

	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
TIMES PanEU Base scenario	0.326	0.329	0.432	0.594	0.672	0.697	0.742	0.758
TIMES PanEU High RES scenario	0.327	0.329	0.430	0.581	0.672	0.742	0.819	0.852

#### *CO*<sup>2</sup> *prices, Eur/t*

Scenario	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
TIMES PanEU								
Base	0	0	1.6	28.9	32.2	27.6	52.8	501.1
TIMES PanEU High RES	0	0	0	25.1	29.7	24.1	30.1	489.1
Additional	0	10	89.8	169.7	249.6	329.4	409.3	489.1





#### Scenarios analysed

Scenarios	RES share in primary energy consumption	CO <sub>2</sub> prices
Base	According to TIMES PanEU Base scenario	According to TIMES PanEU Base scenario
High RES	According to TIMES PanEU High RES scenario	According to TIMES PanEU High RES scenario
BaseCO2Lin	According to TIMES PanEU Base scenario	Linear growth from 10 Eur/t in 2020 up to value estimated in TIMES PanEU Base scenario for 2050





# Results of energy system's development analysis





# Electricity production in Finland (Base sc.)



Electricity supply in Finland is and will remain sufficiently diversified both in terms of primary energy sources and supply channels. Nuclear fuel, hydro, wind resources, gas and **biomass** can be mentioned in case of *primary energy sources* are concerned. *Electricity import* is also possible from different *countries* (Sweden, Norway, Estonia and Russia), i.e. from different suppliers





#### **Electricity production in Estonia**

#### Base scenario



#### BaseCO2Lin scenario







#### **Electricity production in Latvia**

#### Base scenario



BaseCO2Lin scenario





#### **Electricity production in Lithuania**

#### Base scenario



#### 30000 Import/export saldo Wind PP Waste CHP 25000 Solar PP RES CHP Other CHP 20000 Wind Oil shale PP Oil shale CHP 15000 Oil PP Nuclear PP Hvdro Nuclear CHP 4 10000 GT CHP **RES CHP** Hydro PSPP Import Hydro PP Gas CHP Gas-oil PP 5000 Gas-oil CHP ΣG Gas GTCHP ICE CHP 0 Gas CHP Export Coal-biomass PP CCGT CHP -5000 CCGT ICE CHP Final demand -10000 Total demand 2015 2020 2025 2035 2040 2050 2030 2045

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 691739.



#### BaseCO2Lin scenario

#### Available capacities







This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 691739.

ropean on Case. REEEE

Μ

#### Provision of reserve capacity in Finland







#### **Provission of FCR in Baltic States**







#### **Provission of FRR in Baltic States**







#### Provission of RR in Baltic States







#### Understanding of time slices

Time and wind availability			Corresponding value in time axis	Time and wind availability				Corresponding value in time axis	Time and wind availability				Corresponding value in time axis	Time and wind availability			Corresponding value in time axis		
		1-6	Strong wind	2050.008982			1 - 7	Strong wind	2050.251387			1-7	Strong wind	2050.430265			1-7	Strong wind	2050.837364
		hours	Weak wind	2050.028767	v		hours	Weak wind	2050.264157			hours	Weak wind	2050.484019			hours	Weak wind	2050.849658
		7 - 11	Strong wind	2050.036335			8 - 11	Strong wind	2050.266297			8 - 11	Strong wind	2050.491852			8 - 13	Strong wind	2050.852895
		hours	Weak wind	2050.052739		Working	hours	Weak wind	2050.274203			hours	Weak wind	2050.5242			hours	Weak wind	2050.864042
	Working	12 - 17	Strong wind	2050.061858		days	12 - 22	Strong wind	2050.279954		Working days	12 - 15	Strong wind	2050.534049		Working	14 - 17	Strong wind	2050.866212
	days	hours	Weak wind	2050.081506	III season (April 1 -		hours	Weak wind	2050.301828			hours	Weak wind	2050.564383		days	hours	Weak wind	2050.873631
		18 - 20	Strong wind	2050.086751	30)		23 - 24	Strong wind	2050.303176			16 - 20	Strong wind	2050.576334		-	18 - 20	Strong wind	2050.875665
		hours	Weak wind	2050.09589			hours	Weak wind	2050.30685	V season (June 1 -		hours	Weak wind	2050.614612			hours	Weak wind	2050.880822
l season (January 1 -	-	21 - 24	Strong wind	2050.102849		Weekend	1 - 8	Strong wind	2050.309349	September 30)	[	21 - 24	Strong wind	2050.622599	VII season		21 - 24	Strong wind	2050.883969
February 28)		hours	Weak wind	2050.115068		s and	hours	Weak wind	2050.314156			hours	Weak wind	2050.654795	(November 1 - 30)		hours	Weak wind	2050.890411
		1 - 8	Strong wind	2050.120828		bollidays	9 - 24	Strong wind	2050.318948			1-8	Strong wind	2050.661316		Weekend s and hollidays	1 - 7	Strong wind	2050.893269
		hours	Weak wind	2050.130594		nonnuays	hours	Weak wind	2050.328768		Weekend	hours	Weak wind	2050.685845			hours	Weak wind	2050.897603
	Weekend	9 - 17	Strong wind	2050.137603		Working days	1 - 7	Strong wind	2050.334411		s and	9 - 15	Strong wind	2050.691766			8 - 17	Strong wind	2050.901978
	s and	hours	Weak wind	2050.14806			hours	Weak wind	2050.345549		hollidays -	hours	Weak wind	2050.713015			hours	Weak wind	2050.907877
	bollidave	18 - 21	Strong wind	2050.150877			8 - 11	Strong wind	2050.348101			16 - 24	Strong wind	2050.720413			18 - 21	Strong wind	2050.90955
	nonnuays	hours	Weak wind	2050.155822			hours	Weak wind	2050.355139			hours	Weak wind	2050.747946			hours	Weak wind	2050.911987
		22 - 24	Strong wind	2050.157819			12 - 17	Strong wind	2050.359261			1-7	Strong wind	2050.755362			22 - 24	Strong wind	2050.913324
		hours	Weak wind	2050.161644			hours	Weak wind	2050.369522			hours	Weak wind	2050.765525			hours	Weak wind	2050.915069
		1-6	Strong wind	2050.167574	IV season (May 1 -		18 - 22	Strong wind	2050.372473			8 - 11	Strong wind	2050.769803			1-6	Strong wind	2050.924198
		hours	Weak wind	2050.176713	31)		hours	Weak wind	2050.381508			hours	Weak wind	2050.775571			hours	Weak wind	2050.930822
		7 - 12	Strong wind	2050.182276			23 - 24	Strong wind	2050.383019		Working	12 - 18	Strong wind	2050.783046			7 - 16	Strong wind	2050.943235
	Working	hours	Weak wind	2050.191782			hours	Weak wind	2050.386302		days	hours	Weak wind	2050.793151		Working	hours	Weak wind	2050.957078
	days	13 - 22	Strong wind	2050.202176		Weekend	1-8	Strong wind	2050.389895			19 - 21	Strong wind	2050.797122		days	17 - 19	Strong wind	2050.96149
		hours	Weak wind	2050.216897		c and	hours	Weak wind	2050.395434			hours	Weak wind	2050.800685			hours	Weak wind	2050.964954
		23 - 24	Strong wind	2050.219289		bollidave	9 - 24	Strong wind	2050.40163	VI season (October		22 - 24	Strong wind	2050.804526		[	20 - 24	Strong wind	2050.972177
II season (March 1 -		hours	Weak wind	2050.221919		nonnuays	hours	Weak wind	2050.413699	1 - 31)		hours	Weak wind	2050.808219	VIII season		hours	Weak wind	2050.978081
31)		1-8	Strong wind	2050.225032								1-8	Strong wind	2050.811245	(December 1 - 31)		1-7	Strong wind	2050.981667
		hours	Weak wind	2050.230139								hours	Weak wind	2050.816438			hours	Weak wind	2050.984474
	Weekend	9 - 18	Strong wind	2050.234775							Weekend	9 - 17	Strong wind	2050.81817		Weekend	8 - 16	Strong wind	2050.989084
	weekenu	hours	Weak wind	2050.240413							weekenu	hours	Weak wind	2050.825685		s and	hours	Weak wind	2050.992693
	bollidave	19 - 21	Strong wind	2050.241317							sand	18 - 21	Strong wind	2050.826549		bollidays	17 - 20	Strong wind	2050.995075
	nomdays	hours	Weak wind	2050.243495							nomuays	hours	Weak wind	2050.829794		nomdays	hours	Weak wind	2050.996346
		22 - 24	Strong wind	2050.24455								22 - 24	Strong wind	2050.830689			21 - 24	Strong wind	2050.998633
		hours	Weak wind	2050.246577								hours	Weak wind	2050.832877	]		hours	Weak wind	2050.999999





### Utilisation of interconnectors in Baltics

#### Base scenario, 2030







### Utilisation of interconnectors in Baltics

#### Base scenario, 2050







#### Production of district heat (Base sc.)

Ξ

R

Ξ

Μ











# Conclussions

- Refurbishment of existing hydro power plants, construction of wind power plants, CHPs running on biomass and municipal waste, CHPs running on natural gas and biogas are the most attractive electricity generation options in the Baltic States and Finland. Biomass boilers, CHP's and heat pumps are economically more preferable for heat production. The development of other technologies in the near future is economically less justifiable, due to electricity import driven by the relatively low electricity market prices and environmental limitations
- Significant share of intermittent electricity generation (in particular from wind) imposes energy security challenges as it requires the power system to maintain sufficient balancing capacities
- Balancing power obtained via *interconnectors* from available sources in neighbouring countries, *gas turbine CHPs, gas turbine power plants* and plants with *internal combustion engines* are the most cost effective measures to reduce the generation intermittence problem





## Conclussions

- The Baltic States have powerful electrical connections with neighbouring power systems from which they import large amount of required electricity. The capacity of a separate power line may exceed 30-50% of each country's total power demand. *The possible malfunctions of such a line may cause significant energy security problems if required reserve capacities are not available*
- Study results show that in theory the *power system should not face any serious disruptions*. However, in practice, certain elements that ensure the provision of reservation services *may not be implemented or their functioning may not correspond to the real threats* that can appear due to failure of a powerful line, especially in the case where throughput capacity of interconnectors could be reduced due to various reasons. Looking at the current situation, the *biggest problems are related to the provision of frequency containment and replacement reserves*.





### Conclussions

The results of the case study suggest that the *number of interconnectors and their throughput capacities*, used for electricity trade between countries as well as for providing balancing and reservation services, *should be maintained or even extended* 

*Existing fossil fuel power plants,* currently not competitive in the electricity market *can still be a cost-effective option to provide reserve services and ensure energy security.* 

Significant growth of biofuel use and it's dominance, especially in heat production, is not a good phenomenon in terms of energy security. This may have an impact on the competition between fuel types and lead to fuel price growth. On the other hand, this can cause unsustainable processes in forestry if insufficient attention is paid to reforestation, cultivation and forest care





### Thank you for your attention





#### Sustainability of wood supply







#### Sustainability of wood supply





#### Sustainability of wood supply



